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#### Abstract

In this paper, design and simulation of broadband parallel-coupled microstrip bandpass filters used for modern wireless communication technology (Military \& Industrial System such as Low Noise Block (LNB) in Television Satellite) are presented, Four designs of filters at 10 GHz center frequency are proposed. The first design is parallel coupled microstrip filter, which modify by bending some of microstrip conductors in specific angle $\left(\theta \approx 110^{\circ}\right)$ as shown in the second design to modify the characteristics and results.

The third design is $U$-shape parallel coupled filter which modify by bending its stripes with the same angle as above as shown in the fourth design to obtain the best characteristics and results. All filters are simulated by using CAD (Microwave office 2000 version 3.22) and implemented on the Roger RT-duroid 6006, PTFE ceramic substrate with Dielectric constant $\left(\varepsilon_{r}=10.2\right)$, loss tangent $(\tan \alpha=0.0023)$ and substrate height $(\boldsymbol{h}=$ $0.635 \mathrm{~mm})$.
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## Abbreviations

| Symbols | means \& units |
| :---: | :---: |
| LNB | Low Noise Block |
| $\tan \alpha$ | Loss tangent |
| CAD | Computer Aided Design |
| $\varepsilon_{r}$ | Relative permittivity, Dielectric constant |
| h | Thickness of substrate, substrate height (mm) |
| BPF | Band Pass Filter |
| BPCF | Broadband Parallel Coupled Filter |
| FDTD | Finite Differences Time Domain |
| $\delta$ | Fractional bandwidth |
| $f_{2}$ | Upper cut-off frequency (GHz) |
| $f_{1}$ | Lower cut-off frequcey (GHz) |
| $f_{o}$ | Center frequency(GHz) |
| $f$ | Filter frequency (GHz) |
| $f_{c}$ | Cut-off frequency (GHz) |
| $f_{i}$ | The frequency at $-40 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{GHz})$ |
| $n$ | Order |
| $g$ | Element value |
| $j$ | Inverter |
| $R$ | Ripple |
| $Z_{o}$ | System characteristic impedance |
| $Z_{\text {oe }}$ | Even characteristic impedance |
| $Z_{\text {oo }}$ | Odd characteristic impedance |
| S | Gap between two strips |

## Introduction

The bandpass filter finds many applications in communication and microwave system. It serves well in reducing the noise and harmonics content of a system by limiting the band of frequencies seen by the system. Many times bandpass filters are placed at the front end of a receiver to decrease the out of band noise that can enter. They can be used to reduce or eliminate harmonics and spurious outputs generated within the system. A bandpass filter passes a specific band of frequencies and rejects frequencies below and above that band. The response curve for a bandpass filter is shown in figure (1), this response shows an area that is termed the passband which is the area where there is a minimum loss in the filter response [1].

Parallel-coupled lines are extensively used in microwave and millimeter-wave circuits for filters, impedance-matching networks, directional couplers, and combiners[2]. Since microstrip is easily incorporated in hybrid and monolithic microwave integrated circuits. Most currently designed microwave circuitry is based upon microstrip transmission line. The microwave circuit designer initially needs to know two main circuit parameters: the wavelength within the microstrip circuit and the characteristic impedance of the transmission line[3].

The ratio of the power delivered from source to load with and without a two-port network inserted in between is known as the insertion loss of that two-port network. It is generally expressed in dB . The fraction of the input power that is lost due to reflection at its input port is called the return loss. The ratio of the power delivered to a matched load to that supplied to it by a matched source is called the attenuation of that two-port network [4]. Selinda[5] was designed and optimized of a parallel-coupled bandpass filter have passband (2.4-2.4835) GHz with minimum attenuation of -25 dB at 2.5 GHz and passband ripple of 0.2 dB . The filters are implemented on Roger 4003 C substrate with dielectric constant of 3.38 , loss tangent of 0.0021 and substrate height of 0.508 mm .

Cornelis[6] design a wideband RF filters and illustrates this with the design of a 5 resonator filter with a $50 \%$ bandwidth at 1 GHz center frequency. The design technique produces filters with a remarkable accuracy, so that one-iteration filter designs can be produced reliably. H.L.Gan[7] is presented an optimized design method to compensate for the open-end effect in parallel-coupled microstrip bandpass filters. The analysis of the relationship between center frequency deviation and microstrip open-end effect is given.

Maher[8] design of parallel-coupled microstrip bandpass filters without spurious resonance, two different techniques are used to eliminate this response at twice the passband frequency. Suspended substrates and shorting of the coupled microstrip lines are used. The finite differences time domain(FDTD) with the perfect layer is used in the analysis. In this paper, four broadband parallel-coupled filters(BPCFs) designs at 10 GHz center frequency with reduction in size and optimum performance comparing with the previous designs are proposed.

The filters are simulated by using CAD (Microwave office 2000 version 3.22) and implemented on the Roger RT-duroid 6006, PTFE ceramic substrate, relative permittivity ( $\varepsilon_{r}=10.2$ ), loss tangent $(\tan \alpha=0.0023$ ) and thickness $(h=0.635 \mathrm{~mm})$. The first design is a basic parallel-coupled filter used to design other filters. In the third design, the $U$ shape resonator is chosen to connect between two parallel coupled lines. The elegant technique using the bending strips in optimum angle $\left(\theta \approx 110^{\circ}\right)$ will execute in the second and fourth designs. The designed filters can be used in satellite television receivers[9].

## Required Specifications

The required specifications of broadband filter are given in table (1). The designs of filters are implemented on Roger RT-duroid, PTFE substrate with dielectric constant of $\varepsilon_{r}=10.2$, loss tangent is $\tan \alpha=0.0023$ and substrate height of $h=0.635 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Design Steps with Related Theory

The first step is examining filter prototype specification which meet the insertion loss requirements. The family of curves shown in figure (2) apply to Chebyshev prototypes given at -0.01 dB passband ripple. Notice that the independent variable has been adjusted for convenience to achieve on the normalized frequency which is given as $\left|f / f_{c}\right|-1$. For ripple amplitude $\quad R>0.01 \mathrm{~dB}$, only odd order designs are permissible, ensuring that $g_{o}=g_{n}=1.0$ accurately. The equations for calculation of element values are given below [5]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}=\frac{2}{\gamma} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2 n}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& g_{i}=\frac{1}{g_{i-1}} \frac{4 \sin \left[\frac{(2 i-1) \pi}{2 n}\right] \sin \left[\frac{(2 i-3) \pi}{2 n}\right]}{\gamma^{2}+\sin ^{2}\left[\frac{(i-1) \pi}{n}\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

for i=2,3, ---------,n

$$
g_{n+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
1.0 & \text { for } & n & \text { odd }  \tag{3}\\
\operatorname{coth}^{2}\left(\frac{\beta}{4}\right) & \text { for } & n & \text { even }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=\ln \left[\operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{R}{17.37}\right)\right] \\
& \gamma=\sinh \left(\frac{\beta}{2 n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The second step is to determine the fractional bandwidth $(\delta)$ [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{f_{2}-f_{1}}{f_{o}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequency transformation from the low-pass prototype filter to the band-pass microwave filter is [10]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f}{f_{c}}=\frac{2}{\delta}\left(\frac{f_{i}-f_{o}}{f_{o}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third step is to calculate the inverter admittances (normalized for a $50 \Omega$ impedance system) and hence coupled-line impedances use the following equations for $\mathrm{N}=3$ (four coupled line sections) [11]:

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{o} J_{1} & =\sqrt{\frac{\pi \delta}{2 g_{o} g_{1}}}  \tag{6}\\
Z_{o} J_{n} & =\frac{\pi \delta}{2 \sqrt{g_{n-1} g_{n}}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\mathrm{n}=2,3,---\mathrm{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{o} J_{n+1}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi \delta}{2 g_{n} g_{n+1}}}  \tag{8}\\
& Z_{o o}=Z_{o}\left[1+J Z_{o}+\left(J Z_{o}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{9}\\
& Z_{o o}=Z_{o}\left[1-J Z_{o}+\left(J Z_{o}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In parallel coupled microstrip filter design, the characteristics impedances can be defined as [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{o} \approx \sqrt{Z_{o e} Z_{o o}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Results and Discussions

From step (1) of design, a fractional bandwidth of 0.36 and transformation ratio of 4.756 will be obtained. Figure (2) shows the relationship between the attenuation and normalized frequency which is equal to 3.756 at -40 dB for $n=3$. Using equations ( 3,4 and 5) to find the elements value $\left(g_{n}\right)$ which indicate in table (2), then calculate the values of even and odd characteristic impedances $\left(Z_{o e}, Z_{o o}\right)$ from equations $(9,10)$ to get the values of $Z_{o}$ for each elements that is indicated in table (3). Table (4) shows the dimensions of layout design of parallel-coupled broad BPF, and figure (3) shows the layout design. Figure (4) shows the frequency response and return loss for the band $(8.35-11.7) \mathrm{GHz}$ at -3 dB point, and the return loss equal -24 dB at center frequency $(10 \mathrm{GHz})$. Figure (5) shows the phase angle diagram with respect to frequency for BPCF. Figure (6) shows the scattering parameter $S_{11}$ with respect to frequency that is implemented on smith chart.

The modified design (bending angle PCF) has the same dimensions of elements and spacing but reduced layout dimensions as a modified shape, which is shown in figure (7). Figure (8) shows the response of bending angle PCF, where the insertion loss at 10 GHz equal to -30 dB and the band limited frequency at -3 dB are $(8.32-11.8) \mathrm{GHz}$ with $(3.48 \mathrm{GHz})$ band width. Figure (9) shows the phase diagram of bending angle PCF, where the phase at 10 GHz equal to $55^{\circ}$. Figure (10) shows the scattering parameter $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ implemented on smith chart for bending angle PCF. The separation of the U-shape resonator is chosen with the aid of Microwave Office optimization function, this design shown in figure (11). If the separation is chosen to be too small, there will be a lot parasitic reactance, but if the separation is too high, losses will be great. Therefore a balance between the two has to be met [7]. The dimensions of the modified filter ( U -shape BPCF) are ( 8 mm X 4.4 mm ). The frequency response and return loss of U-shape BPCF are shown in figure (11) and the phase diagram of the U-shape filter is shown in figure (13). The scattering parameter $S_{11}$ with respect to frequency of this filter is shown in figure (14).

Figure (15) shows the fourth design which is modified from third design. In this design the strips are bending by $\left(\theta=110^{\circ} 10^{\prime}\right)$ but all dimensions of strips have the same lengths of strips in previous design, Table (7) indicate the dimensions of this design. The angle is found by using trial and error method, and represents a better angle which gives better results.

The overall dimensions of the modified filter (bending angle U-shape BPCF) are ( $7.32 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The filter response and phase diagram for this modified filter are shown in figures (16) and (17) respectively. Scattering parameter $S_{11}$ with respect to frequency of modified filter is shown in figure (18). Table (8) indicates the comparisons between all designed filters for Bandwidths, Phase Angle, Insertion Loss and Dimensions of filters.

## Conclusion

In this paper, four kinds of broadband parallel coupled microstrip bandpass filters have been presented. The designs are carried out by analysis and simulation using Microwave office. From the results of this work, the following conclusions can be obtained:

1. Numerical results show that a rejection level at passband frequency can be obtained when using a substrate material of RT-duroid 6006, $\varepsilon_{r}=10.2, \tan \alpha=0.0023$, $h=0.635 \mathrm{~mm}$.
2. From the comparison indicated in table(8):
a- The bandwidth of U-shape PCF is smaller than other filters.
b- The phase diagram of all filters designs is changed with respect to degree of bending on strips, where in first design the phase in center frequency equal $0^{\circ}$ but in other designs shifted with respect to bending angle.
c- The Insertion Loss at center frequency is increased in the fourth filter design with respect to other designs, so the reflection coefficient will be reduced.
d- The layout dimensions in the second and fourth designs are reduced with respect to the first and third designs.
3. From above, the second and fourth filters designs are the best designs and there modified from first and third designs.

Table (1) Broadband Pass Filter Design Specification

| Filter specifications | Values |
| :---: | :---: |
| Center frequency, $f_{o}$ | 10 GHz |
| Upper cut-off frequency, $f_{2}$ | 12 GHz |
| Lower cut-off frequency, $f_{1}$ | 8.5 GHz |
| Bandwidth | 3.5 GHz |
| Minimum attenuation | -40 dB at 2 GHz |

Table (2) Elements Value Of Filter

| $g_{o}$ | $g_{1}$ | $g_{2}$ | $g_{3}$ | $g_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 1.031 | 1.147 | 1.031 | 1.0 |

Table (3) Parameters Of Parallel Coupled Filter (PCF)

| $n$ | $Z_{o} J_{n}$ | $Z_{\text {oe }}(\Omega)$ | $Z_{\text {oo }}(\Omega)$ | $Z_{o}(\Omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.730 | 113.145 | 40.145 | 67.39 |
| 2 | 0.505 | 88.000 | 37.501 | 57.44 |
| 3 | 0.505 | 88.000 | 37.501 | 57.44 |
| 4 | 0.730 | 113.145 | 40.145 | 67.39 |

Table (4) Dimensions Of BPCF Shown In Figure (3)

| Element number | Width(W) mm | Length(L)mm | Space(S)mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ports(1=8) | 0.75 | 1.9 | - |
| Strips(2=3=6=7) | 0.266 | 3.4 | $\mathrm{~S} 1=\mathrm{S} 2=0.073$ |
| Strips(4=5) | 0.58 | 2 | $\mathrm{~S} 3=0.072$ |

Table (5) Dimensions Of Bending Angle BPCF Shown In Figure (7)

| Element number | Width(W) mm | Length(L)mm | Space(S)mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ports(1=8) | 0.84 | 1.5 | - |
| Strips(2=3=6=7) | 0.266 | $3.4(1.7 \times 2)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1=\mathrm{S} 2=0.058$ |
| Strips(4=5) | 0.667 | 2 | $\mathrm{~S} 3=0.03$ |

Table (6) Dimensions Of U-Shape BPCF In Figure (11)

| Elements number | Width(W) mm | Length(L)mm | Space(S)mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ports(1=5) | 0.75 | 1.9 | - |
| Strips(2=3=6=7) | 0.188 | 3.46 | $\mathrm{~s} 1=\mathrm{s} 2=0.055$ |
| Strips(4=8) | 0.617 | 2 | $\mathrm{~s} 3=\mathrm{s} 4=0.1$ |
| $\operatorname{Strips}(9=10)$ | 0.187 | 2.68 |  |
| $\operatorname{Strip}(11)$ | 1.34 | 0.15 | $\mathrm{~s} 5=0.965$ |

Table (7) Dimensions Of Bending Angle U-Shape BPCF In Figure (15)

| Elements number | Width(W) mm | Length(L)mm | Space(S)mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ports(1=5) | 0.75 | 1.9 | - |
| Strips(2=3=6=7) | 0.188 | $3.44(1.72 \times 2)$ | $\mathrm{s} 1=\mathrm{s} 2=0.055$ |
| Strips(4=8) | 0.617 | 2 | $\mathrm{~s} 3=\mathrm{s} 4=0.1$ |
| Strips(9=10) | 0.187 | 2.68 |  |
| $\operatorname{Strip}(11)$ | 1.34 | 0.15 | $\mathrm{~s} 5=0.965$ |

Table (8) Comparison Between All Designed Filters

| Characteristic | Parallel <br> Coupled <br> Filter | Bending Angle <br> Parallel <br> Coupled Filter | U-shape <br> Parallel <br> Coupled Filter | Bending Angle <br> U-shape <br> Parallel <br> Coupled Filter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BW(GHz) at - <br> 3dB | 3.35 | 3.48 | 2.87 | 3.55 |
| BW(GHz) at- <br> 10dB | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.64 | 4.6 |
| Phase at <br> 10GHz <br> (degrees) | 0.0 | 55 | -155 | -100 |
| Phase at <br> Lower <br> frequency <br> (degrees) | 158 | 55 | -20 | 55 |
| Phase at <br> Upper <br> frequency <br> (degrees) | -158 | 90 | -20 | 0 |
| Insertion <br> Loss(dB) at <br> 10GHz | -23 | -30.5 | -31 | -45 |
| Dimensions <br> (mm x mm) | $12.6 \times 3$ | $10.55 \times 3$ | $8 \times 4.4$ | $7.32 \times 4.4$ |



Figure (1) Band Pass Filter Response (BPF),[1]


Figure (2) Attenuation Versus Normalized Frequency,[5]


Figure (3) Broadband Parallel-Coupled Filter Design (BPCF)


Figure (4) Filter Response Of Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (5) Phase Angle Diagram Of Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (6) Scattering Parameter $S_{11}$ With Respect To Frequency Of Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (7) Bending Angle Broadband Parallel Coupled Filter Design


Figure (8) Filter Response Of Bending Angle Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (9) Phase Angle Diagram Of Bending Angle Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (10) Scattering Parameter $S_{11}$ With Respect To Frequency Of Bending Angle Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (11) Modified Design (U-Shape) Of Broadband Parallel-Coupled Filter Design


Figure (12) Filter Response Of U-Shape Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (13) Phase Angle Diagram Of U-Shape Parallel Coupled Filter


Figure (14) Scattering Parameter $S_{11}$ With Respect To Frequency Of UShapeparallel Coupled Filter


Figure (15) Bending Angle U-Shape Parallel Coupled Filter Design


Figure (16) Filter Response Of Bending Angle U-Shape PCF


Figure (17) Phase Angle Diagram Of Bending Angle U-Shape PCF


Figure (18) Scattering Parameter $S_{11}$ Of Bending Angle U-Shape PCF
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[^0]:    الخلاصة
    في هنا البحث تم التطرق الى تصميم ومحاكاة مرشحات امرارحزمي ذات حزمة واسعة لشرائح دقيقة متوازية الاقتران المستخامة في تكنولوجيا الاتصالات اللاسلكية الحديثة ( (المنظومات العسكرية والصناعية مثل مستلم اشـلمارات (القنوات الفضائية التلفزيونية ( LNB) ) . تم عرض أربعة تصاميم من المرشحات عند تردلد المركز (10GHz). التصميم
     موضح في التصميم الثاني للحصول على مواصفات ونتائئ أفضل.
    /ما التصميم الثالث هو مرشح على شكل حرف U ، تمثنـي بعض شرائحه بنفس الزاويـه اعلاه كمـا موضـح في التصميم الرابع والحصول على أفضل المواصفات والنتائج. تم /جراء (المحاكاةٍ باستغذام الحقيبة البرمجيةّ مايكرويف (2000) النسخة (3.22) ، والتتفيi على المادة نوع سير/ميك (6006 RT-duroid ) بسماحية (10.2) وسمك (0.635)

